Vol. 1, No. 29 (Rev.). Approved: 2/2002



VEGETATION MANAGEMENT GUIDELINE

Smooth Brome (*Bromus inermis* Leyss.)

SPECIES CHARACTER

DESCRIPTION

Smooth brome is a deep-rooted, rhizomatous, perennial grass with stems from 1.3-4.0 feet (0.4 to 1.2 m) tall. The flat, smooth leaf blades range from 0.2-0.6 inches (5 to 15 mm) wide and 4-12 inches (1 to 3 dm) long. The flowering stem has an open panicle with stiff, ascending branches that aid in distinguishing this brome grass from native brome grasses. The panicle is 4-10.8 inches (10-27 cm) long with whorled branches and 4 to 10 flowered spikelets each 0.8-1.0 inches (2 to 2.5 cm) long. The lemmas (small scales at the base of a floret) on the spikelets typically lack an awn (a narrow bristle usually found on the end of a lemma), although a few plants with awns up to 0.08 inches (2 mm) have been observed. The spikelets become purplish or bronze-colored at maturity.

Grasses, in general, are fairly difficult to identify, and smooth brome should be accurately identified before attempting any control measures. If identification of the species is in doubt, the plant's identity should be confirmed by a knowledgeable individual and/or by consulting appropriate books.

DISTRIBUTION

This Eurasian grass was apparently introduced into the United States by the California Experiment Station in 1884. It is now established in most of the United States where it has been widely planted for forage and soil protection, especially along some roadsides. It is found throughout Illinois.

HABITAT

Smooth brome inhabits sunny locations such as roadsides, pastures, fields and banks of drainage ditches. It exhibits better root growth in sandy soils when compared to silty soils, and it typically does not become established on rich, organic soils. Smooth brome can tolerate approximately 24 days of spring flooding.

LIFE HISTORY

Smooth brome is a cool-season grass, beginning growth early in spring and continuing late into autumn. In Illinois, blooming usually occurs in late May to June. Plants commonly flower in synchrony and pollination can occur between plants up to 55 yards (50 m) apart. Smooth brome is an open-pollinated, self-incompatible grass.

Seed production can vary greatly, with reported rates of 47 to 160 seed heads per plant and 156 to 10,080 viable seeds per plant. Invertebrates such as Itonidid midges and Chalcid flies are predators on maturing seeds. It has been suggested that seed may be transported and hoarded by ants, creating new brome patches on anthills.

Smooth brome is a rhizomatous, sod-forming grass. The first adventitious roots may develop within 5 days after seed germination. Rhizomes begin forming from 3 weeks to 6 months after germination. The root system can reach 4.7 feet (1.4 m) in depth and may explain smooth brome's drought resistance. Lamba et. al. (1949) and Newell (1951) found that most of the root mass is concentrated in the upper soil profile. Old brome fields may exhibit a "sod bound" condition in which shoot density is lowered

Smooth brome 2 of 5

and nitrogen deficiency symptoms appear.

EFFECTS UPON NATURAL AREAS

Smooth brome invades open natural communities, such as prairies and savannas. It appears to prefer mesic and dry-mesic situations. Smooth brome will increase more under low soil moisture conditions then during high moisture conditions. It will even outcompete native grasses under drought conditions when warm season grasses theoretically have a competitive edge. Smooth brome rarely occurs in open, wet natural areas such as sedge meadows and wet prairies. This highly persistent grass forms a dense sod that can exclude other species and lower biodiversity of native plants. It spreads to and within natural areas both by seed and vegetative means.

CONTROL RECOMMENDATIONS

RECOMMENDED PRACTICES IN NATURAL COMMUNITIES OF HIGH QUALITY

Initial effort in areas of heavy infestation

A prescribed burn of smooth brome in the late spring, during tiller elongation (i.e. observation of above ground node or five green leaves per tiller), will reduce tiller density and biomass during the current year and the following year in tallgrass prairies. In addition to the physiological stress to smooth brome from fire, competition from increased productivity of native warm-season grasses further impairs its growth. Typically, several late spring burns (>5) are necessary to achieve adequate control. However, care must be taken not to adversely impact nesting grassland bird populations. In a 245 ha mixed prairie in central Nebraska, Nagel et al (1994) documented an increase in smooth brome when it was not burned annually over a 17 year period.

Before commencing any prescribed burns, open burning permits must be obtained from the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency and often appropriate local agencies too. Burns should be administered by persons trained or experienced in conducting prescribed burns, and proper safety precautions should be followed.

Annual spring burning **is not advisable** on prairies with a large component of native coolseason grasses (i.e., *Stipa sp., Koeleria spp.*) and sedges. Burning at this time will harm the native coolseason species as well as smooth brome. In native fescue prairies in Saskatoon, Saskatchewon, Canada, a single fall or spring prescribed burn had no effect on smooth brome but suppressed the native fescue.

Effort in areas of light infestation

Same as given above for areas of heavy infestation.

Maintenance control

A regular spring prescribed burning regime should maintain control for small populations. If this is not feasible, seedlings and young plants that invade should be eliminated by hand digging. In addition, smooth bromegrass plants should be eliminated from bordering areas, where possible, to prevent it from re-invading the natural area

RECOMMENDED PRACTICES ON BUFFER AND SEVERELY DISTURBED SITES

Initial effort in areas of heavy infestation

Prescribed burning in the spring after smooth brome has become green is recommended annually for at least five years, if time to achieve control is not a limiting factor. When more immediate results are desired, the site should be burned in late spring as described above and then sprayed with label rates of glyphosate the following autumn (approximately November 1st -

Smooth brome 3 of 5

15th) after any native plants present are dormant. Typically it is necessary to burn and spray in multiple years.

Burning smooth brome stands in August has also proven effective in greatly reducing the vigor of the stand. The hot, dry conditions typically present after an August burn impair resprouting of smooth brome more than usually occurs after a spring burn when soil moisture is generally greater.

Mowing smooth brome when it is in the boot stage, followed by 3 subsequent mowings during the growing season is reportedly an effective control measure, although no Illinois natural area managers were found that have experience with this technique. The boot stage occurs when flowering heads are still enclosed within the sheath and stems are 18-24 inches tall (45-60 cm).

When burning or mowing is not feasible, use of herbicides may be necessary. Atrizine applied at 2.2kg/ha will significantly decrease smooth brome tillers, but it has also been found to negatively affect native warm season grasses and forbs. For this reason should Atrazine should not be used in natural areas. Also, great caution should be exercised in applying to buffer sites.

Illinois state foresters have had success controlling smooth brome around tree plantings using Fusilade at label rates.

Poast is a grass specific herbicide that may be effective in controlling smooth bromegrass when applied according to label instructions during the spring while most native warm-season grasses are still dormant. Although no Illinois natural area managers were found that had experience with this herbicide, proper use of Poast may result in less damage to non-target vegetation than other herbicides because Poast kills only grasses (and not forbs), and reportedly does not affect sedges irises or other monocots.

As an alternate to Poast, a 2% solution of Roundup sprayed on the green foliage of smooth brome is an effective control. Care should be used while spraying to avoid contacting nontarget plants with the spray, because Roundup is a nonselective herbicide and will affect all green vegetation it contacts. **Do not spray so heavily that herbicide drips off the target species**. When using a hand-held or backpack sprayer, Roundup should be applied while backing away from the treated area to avoid walking through the wet herbicide.

By law, herbicides may only be applied as per label directions and by licensed herbicide applicators or operators when working on public properties.

Tillage may be useful in severely disturbed buffer areas that lack a native plant component, and repeated tillage is necessary in dense stands of smooth brome.

Effort in areas of light infestation

Late spring prescribed burning helps eliminate young plants and is a preferred treatment. A few isolated clumps may be dug up by hand. Spot applications of 2% Roundup in early spring or late fall are effective.

Maintenance control

Same control practices recommended as for high quality natural communities.

FAILED OR INEFFECTIVE PRACTICES

Prescribed burning when smooth brome is dormant or at tiller emergence has no effect on density or biomass compared to unburned units. Mowing prior to the flowering stage similarly has no significant impact in tiller density. Digging up clumps is slow, difficult to remove all rhizomes and sometimes undesirable in a high-quality natural area. Most herbicides are ineffective if applied during dormancy or immediately after mowing. No biological controls are known that are feasible in natural areas.

Smooth brome 4 of 5

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dr. Robert M. Mohlenbrock and the Southern Illinois University Press generously permitted use of illustrations from the *Illustrated Flora of Illinois*.

REFERENCES

- Archer, S. G. and C. E. Branch. 1953. American Grass Book, a Manual of Pasture and Range Practices. University of Oklahoma Press. 330 pp.
- Benedict, H. M. 1941. The inhibitory effect of dead roots on the growth of brome-grass. Journal of the American Society of Agronomy 33:1108-1109.
- Blankespoor, G. W. And E. A. Larson. 1994. Response of smooth brome (*Bromus inermis* Leyss.) to burning under varying soil moisture conditions. American Midland Naturalist 131:266-272.
- Dibbern, J. C. 1947. Vegetative response of *Bromus inermis* to certain variations in environment. Botanical Gazette 109:44-58.
- Gall, H. G. F. 1947. Flowering of smooth bromegrass under certain environmental conditions. Botanical Gazette 109:59-71.
- Grilz, P. L., and J. T. Romo. 1994. Water relation and growth of *Bromus inermis* Leyss. (smooth brome) following spring or autumn burning in fescue prairie. American Midland Naturalist 132:340-348.
- Grilz, P. L., and J. T. Romo. 1995. Management considerations for controlling smooth brome in fescue prairie. Natural Areas Journal 15:148-156.
- Kennedy, P. B. 1899. Smooth brome grass. Circular No. 18 (Agros 54). United States Dept. of Agriculture. 9 pp.
- Knieval, D. P., A. V. A. Jacques, and D. Smith. 1971. Influence of growth stage and stubble height on herbage yields and persistence of smooth bromegrass and timothy. Agronomy Journal 63:430-434.
- Knobloch, I. W. 1944. Development and structure of *Bromus inermis* Leyss. Iowa State College Journal of Science 19:67-98.
- Lamba, P. S., H. L. Ahlgren, and R. J. Mackenkirn. 1949. Root growth of alfalfa, medium red clover, bromegrass and timothy under various soil conditions. Agronomy Journal 41:451-458.
- Lawrence, T. and R. Ashford. 1964. Effect of stage and height of cutting on dry matter yield and persistence of intermediate wheatgrass, bromegrass, and reed canary grass Canadian Journal of Plant Science 41:321-332.
- McCarty, M. K. and C. J. Scifres. 1966. Response of smooth bromegrass to several herbicides. Abstract. Proceedings of the North Central Weed Control Conference 21.
- McKone, M. 1985. Reproductive biology of several bromegrasses. American Journal of Botany 72:1334-1339.
- Mohlenbrock, R. H. 1972 The illustrated flora of Illinois grasses: *Bromus* to *Paspalum*. Southern Illinois University Press. Carbondale. 332 pp.
- Myhr, K. E., N. B. Taser, R. A. Davies, and D. J. deZeeuw. 1966. Death of smooth bromegrass (*Bromus inermis* Leyss.) on organic soil. rop Science 6:221-223.
- Nagel, H. G., R. A. Nicholson, and A. E. Steuter. 1994. Management effects on Willa Cather Prairie after 17 years. The Prairie Naturalist 26(3):241-250.
- Nernberg, D. And M. R. T. Dale. 1997. Competition of five native prairie grasses with *Bromus inermis* under three moisture regimes. Canadien Journal of Botany 75: 2140-2145.
- Newell, L. C. 1951. Controlled life cycles of bromegrass, *Bromus inermis* Leyss., used in improvement. Agronomy Journal 43:417-424.
- Newell, L. C. 1973. Smooth bromegrass *In M. E. Heath*, D. S. Metcalf, and R. F. Barnes eds.

Smooth brome 5 of 5

- Forages. The Science of Grassland Agriculture. Third ed. Iowa State University Press. pp. 254-262.
- Old, S. M. 1969. Microclimate, fire and plant production in an Illinois prairie. Ecological Monographs 39(4):355-384.
- Paulsen, G. M. and D. Smith 1968. Influence of several management practices on growth characteristics and available carbohydrate content of smooth bromegrass. Agronomy Journal 60:375-379.
- Rayburn, E. B., J. F. Hunt, and D. L. Lenscott. 1981. Herbicide and tillage effects on legume establishment in bromegrass sod. Proceedings of the Northeast Weed Science Society 35:67-68.
- The Nature Conservancy. 1988. Element Stewardship Abstract for *Bromus inermis* smooth brome. Minneapolis. 11 pp.
- Wagner, R. E. 1952. Effects of differential clipping on growth and development of seedling grasses and legumes. Agronomy Journal 44:578-584.
- Waller, S. S. and D. K. Schmidt. 1983. Improvement of eastern Nebraska tallgrass range using atrazine or glyphosate. Journal of Range Management 36(1):87-90.
- Willson, G. D., and J. Stubbendieck. 1996. Suppression of smooth brome by Atrazine, mowing, and fire. The Prairie Naturalist 28(1):13-20.
- Willson, G. D., and J. Stubbendieck. 1997. Fire effects on four growth stages of smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*). Natural Areas Journal 17(4):306-312.

PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS

- Brewer, Paul A. 1999. Division of Wildlife Resources, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Charleston, Illinois.
- Nyboer, Randy. 1992. Division of Natural Heritage, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Springfield, Illinois.
- Packard, Steve. 1992. The Nature Conservancy, Chicago, Illinois.
- Semel, Brad. 1999. Division of Natural Heritage, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Spring Grove, Illinois.
- Westemeier, Ronald. 1992. Illinois Natural History Survey, Grasslands Wildlife Research Lab, Effingham, Illinois.

Written for the Illinois Nature Preserves Commission by:

Mary Kay Solecki Illinois Nature Preserves Commission 1 North Street Sidney, Illinois 61877

Revised for the Illinois Nature Preserves Commission by:

Eric L. Smith Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Division of Natural Heritage 2005 Round Barn Road Champaign, Illinois 61821

Equal opportunity to participate in programs of the Illinois Nature Preserves Commission (INPC), Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) and those funded by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and other agencies is available to all individuals regardless of race, sex, national origin, disability, age, religion or other non-merit factors. If you believe you have been discriminated against, contact the funding source's civil rights office and/or the Equal Employment Opportunity Officer, IDNR, One Natural Resources Way, Springfield, Ill. 62702-1271; 217/785-0067; TTY 217/782-9175.